UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

4

3

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff,

ZIEGLER-HOFFMAN PROPERTIES, et

Defendants.

SCOTT JOHNSON,

v.

Case No. <u>5:21-cv-03006-EJD</u>

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

Plaintiff Scott Johnson filed the present action on April 26, 2021. Dkt. No. 1. Pursuant to General Order 56, the parties' last day to conduct a joint site inspection was June 25, 2021 and Plaintiff's last day to file a notice of need for mediation was October 6, 2021. Dkt. No. 12. Plaintiff did not file a notice of need for mediation, nor did Plaintiff seek relief from the October 6, 2021 deadline.

The Court possesses the inherent power to dismiss an action sua sponte "to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases." Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-33 (1962). Plaintiff is directed to file a written response to this order by November 29, 2021 and to appear before the Court on **December 9, 2021, at 10:00 a.m.** and show cause why this action should not be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). If Plaintiff fails to file a written response by the above deadline, the Court will dismiss the action with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 16, 2021

VARD J. ĎAVILA United States District Judge

Case No.: 5:21-cv-03006-EJD

OSC WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED